

Clerk's Report for
Monday 21st September 2026

Agenda item		Pages
4	Minutes of the previous meeting	2 - 5
5	Chairmans matters – CDC Councillor's report	6 - 10
6	Planning response 26/02151/FUL Naunton Downs Golf Club Planning response 26/0012/CWS75M Grange Hill changes to hours, changes to exports and changes to vehicle movements.	11 – 13 14
8c	Grit bins and winter preparation	15 - 16
9	Noticeboard (as per July meeting) Minuted: Cllr Gibberson to obtain quotes for repairs.	17 - 18
12	Clerk position – locum information	19

Item 4 Minutes of the last meeting – July 2026

MINUTES: of Naunton Parish Council Meeting held on Monday 27th July 2026 at 7.00 pm.
 PRESENT: Parish Councillors: Charles Hanks, Keith Russell, David Pickup, Wendy James.
 APOLOGIES received in advance from Cllr Gibberson.

IN ATTENDANCE: Maxi Freeman, Clerk

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: Two

1. **To receive Declarations of Interest in items on the agenda (Localism Act 2011).** None.
2. **To hear representations from the public** regarding items on the agenda. None.
3. **Approval of minutes of the previous meeting (May 2026)** The meeting approved the minutes, and the Chairman signed them. **Action: Clerk to post to website.**
4. **Chairman's announcements.** The Chairman introduced Clare Rees who was attending her first council meeting before deciding whether to take the role of clerk.
5. **Clerk's Report.** Councillors noted supporting information for items, 3, 8, 12, 14, 16 (a)

4. Planning applications

To comment:

[26/02147/TCONR](#) Longford. Reduce crowns of 5 apple trees. No objections. **Action: Clerk to post comments to CDC planning portal.**

[26/01962/CLEUD](#) Councillors noted that works have started at Brockhill under permission 23/00017/FUL.

The following changes since the last meeting were noted:

26/01400/FUL Glebe House. Football pitch and garage. Refused.

26/01310/FUL Glebe House New side extension. Permitted.

26/00039/FUL Littons. Replacement for stables. Permitted but 'shall not be used as a separate unit'. A further application would be needed to change the use. Councillors noted that the path through the plot to the church may become unavailable once works start and the new entrance to the plot was formed. **Action: Cllr James to investigate historic and current use of the path.**

10. Assets and risk assessment. To receive reports on council assets and decide on any action.

Recreation field and benches	Cllr Hanks reported that the cricket field needed to be reseeded and suggested that the Recreation Committee and/or the Cricket Committee could apply to the PC for funding. Action: Cllr Hanks to speak to Recreation and Cricket Committees.
Play area	Cllr Pickup noted that one of the swings was damaged and that graffiti had been sprayed onto some of the equipment. Action: Cllr Hanks agreed to follow up regarding remedial action.
Flood Monitoring	Cllr Russell reported that the dry period meant that the river was flowing more slowly than usual.
Village Hall	Cllr Russell reported that the repairs to the Village Hall were being carried out. It was reported that a number of logs had been thrown in the river which would cause an obstruction when the level rose.

	Action: Cllr Hanks to discuss with the owners of Close Hill to find out whether they knew who might be responsible and to stop the issue recurring. Cllr Gibberson offered to remove the logs (since done)..
--	--

- 11. Noticeboard.** Councillors noted that the noticeboard was in very poor condition and that the price of a new oak noticeboard was over £2000. Action: Cllr Gibberson to obtain quotes for repairs. Cllrs to review options in the Clerk's Report. Decision to be taken at the next meeting.
- 12. GDPR Training.** Only one councillor had completed the training. Action: Clerk to ask the provider for new links to the training. Cllr Gibberson asked to be registered for the update on Neighbourhood plans scheduled for 16th December and councillors agreed.
- 13. Defibrillator training.** Cllr James said that training would cost £340. Councillors agreed to the expenditure. Action: Cllr James to confirm a booking date with the provider. Clerk to arrange payment when required.
- 14. Private lengthsman scheme.** Councillors decided to keep details on file but no action at this time.
- 15. Clerk's handover payments.** Councillors agreed to make payments to the clerk and the new clerk during the handover period on the current and proposed NALC scales. Back pay was also agreed. Action: Clerk to make arrangements.
- 16. GCC Quarry meeting and traffic .** Councillors had not attended the meeting but asked for an update on the outcomes. Action: Cllr Hanks to forward email from the North Cotswold Quarry group to councillors. Councillors noted that traffic seemed to be exceeding the speed limit on the hill into Naunton from the B4068 to Church Road and agreed to check this by having GCC carry out a speed monitoring exercise. This data could be used to decide on possible action, which might include warning signs installed by GCC or the PC, VAS equipment or other action agreed with GCC.
- 17. Internal audit for 2025 26.** Councillors noted the contents of the internal audit report and agreed to ensure that two councillors signed every invoice.
- 18. Additional bank signatory.** Councillors agreed to include Cllr James as an additional signatory. Action: Clerk to provide forms and send completed forms to the bank.
- 12. Finances**
- a) **To receive current accounts and bank reconciliation**
- Current account balance A/c 00462740: £36,180.15 (22nd July 2026)
- Deposit account balance A/c 01612290: £495.17 (22nd July 2026)

Naunton Parish Council Reconciliation					
Period 1 April 2025 to 22 July 2026					
Current account 00462740 Online					
	Balance @ 22 July 2026			£36,180.15	
Deposit account 01612290 Online					
	Balance @ 22 July 2026			£495.17	
Total				£36,675.32	
Less outstanding cheques					£0.00
Reconciled balance				£36,675.32	
Cash book summary					
	Opening balance 1.4.26			£24,195.87	
	Add receipts to date			£14,575.83	
	Less payments to date			£2,096.38	
Cash book balance				£36,675.32	
Signed:					
Clerk & RFO					
Chairman					
Date: 22nd July 2026					

b) To approve payments and note receipts

The following payments to be approved:				
Epay	M Freeman	Clerk's salary part of June @ £119.91	LGA 1972 s.112 (2)	£119.91
Epay	HMRC	Tax @£5.20	LGA 1972 s.112 (2)	£5.20
Epay	PATA	Final payment calculations.	LGA 1972 s.111	£45.00
dhe following credits have been received:				
	Deposit a/c	Interest June, July 2025		£0.40
Payments between meetings:				
PAY	Lloyds	Account fees @ £4.25 each p mth June/July		£8.50
SO	Chequers Bridge PATA	Payroll services		40.35

14) Items for the next meeting: Update on grit bin status. Decision on noticeboard.

The next meeting is scheduled for 21st September 2026.

.....

Chairman

Date 21st September 2026

Item 5 Chairmans matters – August report from CDC Councillor Len Wilkins:

BOURTON WARD
COTSWOLD DISTRICT COUNCILLOR REPORT
AUGUST 2026 - edited

Note: since the report the Government has paused the reorganisation of local councils into unitary authorities pending legal advice.

Parliamentary constituency is North Cotswolds CLIFTONBROWNG@parliament.uk
Cllr Paul Hodgkinson our Gloucestershire County Council councillor for Bourton on the Water, Upper and Lower Slaughter and Cold Aston and is responsible for Highways – Paul.Hodkinson@gloucestershire.gov.uk
Cllr Tom Bradley is our Gloucester County Councillor for Cutsdean, Guiting Power and Temple Guiting and is responsible for Highways-
Tom.Bradley@gloucestershire.gov.uk

CABINET DECISIONS - 1 July 2026**Planning Enforcement Update**

To provide an update on the performance, staffing, and capacity of the Planning Enforcement Team following the transition of planning services back into the Council in November 2024 and the subsequent PAS PeerReview.

Cabinet RESOLVED to:

1. Endorse the Chief Executive's decision under delegated authority (Part C4 of the Constitution and section 112 of the Local Government Act 1972) to establish and appoint to a time-limited Enforcement Leadership role and
2. approve the additional budget provision required to fund the role to be funded from the Council's earmarked 'Capacity Building Reserve'.

Consider a further report, at January 2027 Cabinet which would outline the service performance and highlight any problems or particular highlights.

Service Performance Report - Q4 2025/26

To provide an update on progress on the Council's priorities and service performance for Q4 2025/26.

Cabinet RESOLVED to note the overall progress on the Council priorities and service performance for 2025-26 Q4 (January – March 2026).

DRAFT REVISED LOCAL PLAN PUBLISHED 24 JULY 2026

It sets out how around 18,900 new homes could be delivered in the district between 2026 and 2043 in response to the revised government target of housing delivery, which more than doubled the annual target to 1,036 homes per year. Subject to approval by councillors, residents will get to have their say during a six-week consultation from 24 August to 5 October 2026. This represents the formal Regulation 19 stage of the planning process, serving as the final opportunity for the public to comment before the document is submitted to the central government for examination.

Before the consultation goes live, the draft plan must pass through the following final internal review committees:

-
- 3 August 2026: Overview and Scrutiny Committee
-
- 6 August 2026: Cabinet review and recommendation
-
- 12 August 2026: Full Council meeting for final sign-off

Residents and local communities have expressed deep concern over mapped infrastructure changes, such as proposed roads cutting through residential spaces, and the sheer volume of over 2,000 homes targeted in specific local areas.

90% of the new housing is targeted at 10 designated strategic sites in areas like Cirencester, Moreton-in-Marsh, Mickleton, Siddington, Driffield, and Fairford.

The 10 proposed strategic sites in the updated Local Plan are mapped out to absorb over 11,300 homes of the district's 18,900 target by 2043. The strategy clusters the largest developments around the Cirencester area and established market towns to preserve the protected Cotswolds National Landscape.

The Cirencester Cluster (Nearly 8,000 Homes) bear the vast majority of the proposed housing capacity:

Down Ampney: Earmarked for a massive expansion of 1,658 homes.

Preston: Targeted for 1,368 homes.

South of Driffield: Set for an entirely new standalone settlement of 1,090 homes, with designated potential for expanded growth extending past 2043.

East of Kingshill Lane (Cirencester): Split across two specific land allocations to deliver 613 homes.

South of The Steadings (Cirencester): Allocated for 585 homes, with scope for long-term expansion after the current plan period concludes.

Siddington: Allocated for 372 homes.

North and East Market Towns

The remaining major strategic sites focus on expanding existing infrastructure hubs farther north and east:

Moreton-in-Marsh: Earmarked for a major expansion of 2,217 homes.

Mickleton, Fairford, and Kemble: These account for the remaining allocations, each selected because they sit outside the strictly protected landscape boundaries but can accommodate the minimum threshold of 350+ homes per site required for strategic planning status.

How to Participate

To write an effective Regulation 19 representation must focus strictly on legal compliance and the four tests of soundness: positively prepared, justified, effective, and consistent with national policy. Submissions should clearly reference specific parts of the plan, state why it fails a test, and provide evidence.

You can submit feedback through the following official channels:

- Online Portal: Submissions can be made directly via the Cotswold District Council Engagement Website. This is the council's strongly preferred method because it links comments directly to specific site numbers or policy chapters.
- By Email: Comments can be emailed directly to Local.Plan@cotswold.gov.uk.
- By Letter: Written representations can be posted to: Planning Policy, Cotswold District Council, Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX.
- In-Person Viewing: Hard copies of the sprawling 2026–2043 draft framework will be made available for public viewing at the main council offices and libraries in Cirencester and Moreton-in-Marsh.

We need to push back harder against the heavy top-down housing quotas enforced by the central government, the feasibility and environmental strain of doubling local build numbers has to be questioned. As one resident has said in an email to me 'We all, I hope agree, that we do need additional homes for people, but not by destroying what we have today'.

Summary of Cotswold District Council Other Planning News (July–August 2026)

- Appeals Defeats: The central Planning Inspectorate overturned the council's refusal for 54 homes in Lechlade-on-Thames and approved a distillery development in Birdlip.
- Moreton-in-Marsh Defense Abandoned: The council withdrew from defending an appeal for 195 homes in Moreton-in-Marsh, conceding that central government policy heavily favors housing near train stations.
- Enforcement Overhaul: To clear a persistent backlog and combat recruitment shortages, the council created a temporary Enforcement Leadership post funded by its internal capacity reserves.
- Local Plan Defence: Council chiefs warned that the new 18,900-home Local Plan must be advanced immediately to shield the district from further aggressive, speculative developer appeals.
- Political Backlash: Local political factions united to oppose top-down government housing quotas, warning that forcing major developments into market towns risks permanently damaging the Cotswold landscape.

DEVOLUTION - LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORM

[Future Gloucestershire](#) (website link)

A dedicated Neighbourhoods and Communities Programme has been established to shape how local communities will engage with the new authority. Gloucestershire Association of Local Councils [GALC](#) is represented on the Programme Board, which receives fortnightly updates from five workstreams, and is playing a leading role in the Parish and Town Council Partnership Project. This work is developing future partnership arrangements between the new unitary council and Gloucestershire's

parish and town councils, while ensuring local councils are represented across the wider implementation programme.

A joint update from Gloucestershire's seven councils will be issued to parish and town councils in September outlining progress made to date, including the work taking place across the various implementation projects. The Future Gloucestershire website will also include a dedicated bulletin for the parish and town council sector.

I will liaise with the Devolution Working Group, ensuring the needs of our parish councils inform the work of the Neighbourhoods and Communities Programme workstreams and that Bourton Vale Parish Councils remain at the heart of the conversation.

REPEATED FROM MY JULY UPDATE:

Steve Reed, Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government announced in the House of Commons at 12:30pm on July 16 that Gloucestershire will have just one Unitary Council from 1 April 2028. There will be elections to a shadow authority on May 6, 2027, which will make the key decisions related to the creation of the new council.

Residents should continue to access Council services in the normal way.

The government has said that the single unitary option best met the criteria. This was particularly the case in three respects: it provides a sensible geography for economic growth and housing, it is easier to deliver joined up public services, and a good platform for community empowerment.

A single unitary council was the preferred option for Stroud District Council, Gloucestershire County Council, Tewkesbury Borough Council and Cotswold District Council. Cheltenham Borough Council favoured two councils with an east/ west split, while Gloucester City Council favoured two councils with a 'Greater Gloucester' at the centre. The Forest of Dean District Council decided not to back any of the proposals. The single unitary council was also preferred by Gloucestershire's stakeholder groups - teachers, GPs, colleges, police, voluntary, faith and business sector leaders.

The Councils have been working together to prepare the delivery of the government's decision and will ensure that residents and businesses continue to receive services as normal. However, the announcement does raise further questions, not least how to ensure that the new council is properly resourced from day one and how one unitary council, covering around 700,000 people, will take on everything currently delivered by the district, borough and county councils.

The important question for me is how will smaller, rural communities be adequately represented when many already feel distanced from the decision makers?

ROAD WORKS

To receive National Highways updates, opt in to ensure compliance with GDPR, [please follow this link](#).

All roadworks and planned road closures on motorways and major A roads, including the A417, are published here: <https://www.trafficengland.com/Road Closure Reports>, which includes a seven day look ahead of planned road closures, are updated twice daily, Monday to Friday except for bank holidays:

<https://nationalhighways.co.uk/travel-updates/road-closure-report/>

To find the latest information about local road closures, please visit:

<https://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/highways/roads/roadworks/>

Webpage: <https://nationalhighways.co.uk/our-roads/south-west/a417-missing-link/>

Email: A417MissingLink@nationalhighways.co.uk

Phone: 0300 123 5000

X: @HighwaysSWEST Facebook: @HighwaysSWEST

Please report highway issues, such as potholes, and track their progress online at www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/fixmystreet Or download the app: FixMyStreet

len.wilkins@cotswold.gov.uk

07970668849

Item 6 Response to planning application 26/02151/FUL

Planning application 26/02151/FUL Variation of condition 7 (holiday let use) of permission 21/02179/FUL - (Erection of six holiday cottages - Variation of condition 2 (drawings) of permission 18/02378/FUL to enable minor design changes to approved cottages) to allow the occupation of Units 5 and 6 as management accommodation serving Naunton Downs Estate.

Naunton Parish Council objects strongly to this application for the following reasons:

1. Application for open-market residential housing in open countryside in the Cotswold National Landscape.

In the Executive Summary of the planning statement, the applicant states “*Importantly, the application does not seek the creation of an unrestricted dwelling in the open countryside and does not involve the removal of planning controls governing occupation of the accommodation*”. Naunton Parish Council notes that this is exactly what the applicant is requesting – a change from holiday let to permanent open market housing.

There is no such housing designation as ‘*management accommodation*’ (as described in the application) in any planning regulations or documents.

Naunton Parish Council requests that CDC notes the above factual discrepancy and enforces the relevant regulations which prevent open market housing being built in isolated, unsustainable locations in the CNL.

2. Holiday let units only permitted for the rural business (golf)

The buildings were only permitted as holiday lets. Condition 7 of Permission 18/02378/FUL states very clearly that “*..the units shall be occupied as holiday accommodation only and, for the avoidance of doubt, they shall not be occupied as permanent, unrestricted accommodation, second homes or principal or primary place of residence*”. CDC gave the following reasons: ‘*Permission is granted for the development on the grounds that it will support the viability of an existing rural business. Unrestricted new build residential development would not accord with Local Plan Policy DS4 or Paragraph 79 of the NPPF.*’

Six units were permitted but only 3 have been built. This current application reduces the number of units to 1. This contrasts with the reason that CDC gave permission, i.e. that the units would support the viability of an existing rural business. At the time the ‘rural business’ was the golf course. The stables were not approved until January 2022 (21/03260/FUL). Since then the owners have reduced the golf course from 18 holes to 13, with clear negative impacts on player and member numbers. By reducing the size of the golf course and removing the holiday lets, the owners will have failed to meet the objectives stated in the application i.e. to support the viability of an existing rural business’ and instead are threatening the viability of a valuable local facility. Had these changes been known at the time of the application, the decision to approve would have been without basis and contrary to planning regulations.

3. Location in open countryside, outside any strategic or non-strategic development areas

Also in the reason behind Condition 7, CDC states that “*The application site is located in an isolated location in the open countryside where unrestricted new build open market housing would normally be resisted.*” The site is still isolated and in open countryside and housing development should be resisted.

While the Local Plan is in the process of being updated and the NPPF has been updated, similar regulations still apply – NPPF Par 79 is now Par 84 - and the equivalents of DS1 – 4 are included in the Local Plan which is currently being processed (Core Policy 2, Core Policy 3, Core Policy 4 – especially 4.26, and Table 4.9) which categorically states that

‘Development in Open Countryside will not be permitted unless specifically supported by other relevant policies as set out in the Development Plan or national policy.’ Open Countryside is defined as *‘Those villages and settlements not included within the categories described above are considered to form part of the Open Countryside.’*

Naunton Parish Council requests that CDC maintains its position on development in open countryside and the regulations regarding the responsibility to ‘preserve and enhance’ the Cotswold National Landscape’.

4. Number and justification for on site managers

The application says that 2 managers are needed for the current estate. The application for accommodation would seem to imply that they are needed on site 24/7.

There is no evidence that two managers are needed and absolutely no reason why they might be needed on site 24/7. The site already includes accommodation for 12 grooms/work riders and was permitted because of the need for on site,24/7 accommodation. The groom/rider accommodation (though not the number of beds) met the NPPF’s Function Test. This requires an applicant to prove that *‘a full time worker must live on site 24/7 for the business to operate (e.g. emergency livestock welfare, complex calving, high-security agricultural infrastructure)’*. This application does not meet those test requirements. The latest Draft Local Plan includes similar clauses in Development Policy 3.

Cotswold District Council should reject this application in light of the lack of justification and failure to meet NPPF tests.

5. Factual errors

Paragraph 4.3 States that '*management responsibility now extends across tourism accommodation, leisure facilities, hospitality activities and estate infrastructure*'. As there are no holiday lets, the tourism accommodation stated above as one of the reasons for the requirement is non-existent. The leisure facility (not facilities) i.e. golf course, though now shortened, was there at the start of the business and has always functioned without an on site manager, so the need for management has not increased. Hospitality activities are outsourced and the 'estate infrastructure' for the golf course has not increased but decreased, while the stables has its own management and other accommodation.

As there is no increased need for management, there is no need for the open market residential accommodation proposed. Naunton Parish Council requests that CDC recognises the lack of evidence for this change of use.

6. Design of holiday lets does not appear to comply with approved drawings

The applicant states that the holiday lets have interconnecting doors. It is not clear why the units would need to interconnect if 2 individual managers were using the holiday lets. In addition, the approved design of the holiday lets shows that the two living rooms for units 5 and 6 are the only place where there could be an interconnecting door. An interconnecting door on the first floor is not possible as the bedrooms do not share a wall.

Naunton Parish Council cannot find any drawings submitted to CDC which show this feature or any evidence that this was approved in the permitted application. For there to be interconnecting doors the holiday lets would have to be built differently from the approved plans.

Naunton Parish Council requests that CDC uses its powers to enforce the approved build of these units.

7. Previous applications for residential development

Residents of Naunton are aware that the applicant has previously applied for permission to build two residential open market houses and, in a separate application, for a single residence of 'exceptional quality' on the site. There is currently no relevant documentation on the CDC planning portal but it was available at the time of the applications. It is clear that the applicant continues to be keen to build a residential property on the site, contrary to current planning and National Landscape regulations.

Naunton Parish Council requests that CDC continues to apply the criteria which it used originally when assessing any application for isolated, open market housing in rural areas including the Cotswold National Landscape.

Item 6 - 26/0012/CWS75M Grange Hill Quarry – response from head of quarry development

30th March 2026:

I hope you are well.

I wanted to follow up on the correspondence shared with Cllr. Bradley regarding Grange Hill Quarry, particularly in advance of your upcoming parish meeting.

As outlined in my recent update to Cllr. Bradley (*set out below*), the County Council's Monitoring & Enforcement team is actively engaged with the issues raised by residents concerning quarry-related vehicle movements, road condition, and compliance with existing planning controls. Officers have undertaken several site and roadside visits over the past month or so to review activity on the ground and to assess conformity with the conditions attached to the current permission.

We remain in constructive dialogue with the operator. It is our understanding that a new planning application is expected shortly in order to regularise matters relating to HGV movements, operating hours, and associated mitigation measures. Once submitted, this will provide a formal opportunity for the Parish Council and local residents to raise specific concerns, present evidence, and assist in shaping any revisions required to protect local amenity. The operator has also indicated that further longer-term operational changes may be proposed thereafter, and we have made clear that any such proposals must be accompanied by a robust package of mitigation measures.

With respect to monitoring output levels from the site, officers have already requested production figures from the operator. These have formed part of our compliance checks and ongoing investigations. However, as these figures are commercially confidential, they cannot be shared publicly.

On matters relating to the condition of the surrounding road network, I understand that Gloucestershire Highways has already undertaken some immediate localised repairs and is considering what a more enduring solution for Buckle Lane may involve. If the Parish Council is considering a traffic count or commissioning additional evidence, the most appropriate GCC contact is Rhodri Grey, Local Highway Manager for the area (Rhodri.GREY@gloucestershire.gov.uk). He may be able to provide guidance on survey methods, feasibility, and any associated costs.

Please be assured that the concerns raised by the Parish Council and the local community continue to be taken seriously, and that monitoring and enforcement activity will remain active over the coming period.

My team and I will continue to keep you updated as matters progress.

Kind regards,

Robin Drake MRTPI Team Manager | Development Management & Minerals & Waste

Planning Gloucestershire County Council ♀ Shire Hall, Westgate Street, Gloucester, GL1 2TG

☎ 01452 42 5682 ✉ Robin.Drake@gloucestershire.gov.uk 🌐 www.gloucestershire.gov.uk

Item 8 (c) Grit bins and winter preparation

Email trail. No further responses received since 17th August.

From: GREY, Rhodri <Rhodri.GREY@gloucestershire.gov.uk>
 To: "clerk@naunton-pc.gov.uk" <clerk@naunton-pc.gov.uk>
 Date: Mon, 17 Aug 2026 12:17:20 +0100
 Subject: Enquiry no.22121292

Enquiry no.22121292 Response to Grit Bin Request – Main Road through Naunton (Church Lane / B4068)

Dear Maxi,

Thank you for contacting Gloucestershire County Council Highways regarding the request for a grit bin on the road down from the B4068 into Naunton near Church Lane (What3Words: betrayed.parks.masts).

We appreciate that Naunton Parish Council has agreed to fund the installation of a new grit bin at this location.

Under Gloucestershire County Council parameters, grit bins paid for and maintained by Parish Councils can be approved provided they meet highway safety positioning guidelines and do not obstruct sightlines.

Regarding concerns about winter maintenance along this stretch, pre-season salt heaps, salt heaps are strategically placed along rural routes prior to the onset of the severe weather season.

While these may not be visible currently, they are replenished and put in place ahead of the active winter maintenance period starting.

Please see below example in the verge in that vicinity.

Kind regards,

SUN AUG 23 2:51 PMSENT
 GREY, Rhodri,Charles Hanks

Thanks for getting back to me.

I note that your reply says:

Under Gloucestershire County Council parameters, grit bins paid for and maintained by Parish Councils can be approved provided they meet highway safety positioning guidelines and do not obstruct sight lines.

Would you let me know:

- * what the safety positioning guidelines say
- and
- * how to make sure that the bin does not obstruct sight lines.

As the road is straight, I would have thought that sight lines would remain as they are but there may be some GCC Highways guidelines that could confirm exactly what is needed. Please would you point me to the relevant document.

Would you also point me to the document which defines safety positioning guidelines.

I am about to complete the winter salt/grit form and it would make sense to be able to pinpoint the position of the new grit bin on that form.

Best regards

Maxi

Maxi Freeman
Clerk & RFO to Naunton Parish Council
07736 706805

Item 9 Noticeboards

Criteria to be considered:

1. Size – A1 – 8 A4 pages?
2. Material – choice of wood, aluminium or recycled plastic
3. Fixing – magnetic or pinboard (and type of pinboard material)?
4. Locking or not – both sides the same?
6. Colour/finish?
7. Number of doors- 2?
8. Reuse existing posts?

The Parish Noticeboard company The Parish Notice Board Company - Parish Noticeboards 0800 781 0644/ 01606 871188					
Aluminium	A1 'Classic' noticeboard	Header	2 doors Includes posts	Magnetic	£1320 + VAT
Oak	A1	+ header	2 doors, includes posts	Magnetic	£2340 + VAT
Fitzpatrick Woolmer 01634 766677 6 week lead time Notice Boards External Notice Boards Wooden Notice Board (fwdp.co.uk) 1 year guarantee					
Recycled plastic	A1 noticeboard	+ header	Vents, struts, locks	Magnetic (pinboard option)	£2090 2 doors, £1950 single door
Fusilier Stainless steel	A1 noticeboard	+ header	Vents, struts, locks	Magnetic (pinboard option)	£2400 1 door., £2603 2 doors.
Oak	A1 noticeboard	+ header	Vents, struts locks	Magnetic (pinboard option)	£2413 1 door, £2478 2 doors
Noticeboard Company, 7 day lead time , External Notice Boards UK From Only £49.50 Weatherproof Vandal Resistant Buy Outdoor Notice Boards Online Lockable with Printed Header (noticeboardcompany.com) 02476 010076					
Zinc electroplated steel	8 x A4	+ header	2 doors, locks or unlocked	Magnetic	£737 + VAT

'Tradition 30'					
Wooden - Iroko	8 x A4	Include s header	2 door, includes posts. Doors can be locked or unlocked .	Choic e	£2129 + VAT
Greenbarnes 01280 701093 5 year warranty A Guide to specifying, costing and buying external noticeboards (greenbarnes.co.uk)					
Oak	8x A4 lockable oak notice board, https://www.greenbarnes.co.uk/shop/external-noticeboards/oak-noticeboards/2-bay-4-x-a4-oak-noticeboard-ref-dn-o/	+ header (£121)	1 side locked , other unlocked 2 doors	Pinbo ard	£2250 + VAT ex posts but inc fixings
Aluminium (can be painted for £25)	8 X A4, AF58, aluminium 980mm wide x 830mm high.	+ header (£76)	One door, includes posts , includes lock	Magn etic (pinbo ard £112 extra)	£544 + VAT
Recycled plastic wood effect	9 x A4 980mm wide x 830mm high.	Inc header	2 doors,	Choic e. PLUS posts.	£1046 + VAT

Item 12 Locum clerk information

In the absence of any further suitable applicants Naunton Parish Council should consider engaging a locum clerk. These are usually highly experienced and would not need much support to manage the role. Locums are paid by the hour at rates between £30 and £50. Locums may be paid direct rather than through PATA. They would handle the calculation and deductions themselves. The following candidates could be available:

Once the locum is in place the date for the clerk to retire can be agreed. As the clerk resigned in May with a leaving date of 11th June, payment is due for the rest of June, plus July, August and September. These months will be at the 2026/27 payrate of £15.07 instead of £14.35. Backpay from 1 April to 11 June is also due.